

Message

From: Juett, Lynn [Juett.Lynn@epa.gov]
Sent: 3/14/2019 12:41:31 AM
To: Mehta, Sandeep [mehta.sandeep@epa.gov]
CC: Fisher, Susan [Fisher.Susan@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: Vogel Paint (Iowa) Request for Change in Remedy and Site Deletion

Sandeep, would you please share a copy of the last 5 year review with Susan and myself for this site?

Lynn M Juett
913-948-1129
Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 13, 2019, at 9:54 AM, Mehta, Sandeep <mehta.sandeep@epa.gov> wrote:

All:

One correction that I need to provide about the soil placement onsite:

2200 cubic yards of treated soils that I mentioned being disposed near the west end is incorrect. These treated and stabilized soils were actually placed in the original disposal area on the east end, about 5 feet above the highest recorded groundwater.

Apologies for my error!

Respectfully
Sandeep Mehta, P.E.
Ph: (913) 551-7763
Email: Mehta.sandeep@epa.gov

<image001.jpg>

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Mehta, Sandeep **On Behalf Of** Juett, Lynn
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2019 2:20 PM
To: Newton, Heather; Sands, Charles; Juett, Lynn
Subject: FW: Vogel Paint (Iowa) Request for Change in Remedy and Site Deletion
When: Wednesday, March 13, 2019 8:00 AM-9:00 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).
Where: R7-RO2.A-C09-8/R7-RO

Heather and Charles:

My apologies! I emailed out the attached power point file to be used for the call tomorrow.

Respectfully,
Sandeep Mehta

-----Original Appointment-----

From: Juett, Lynn
Sent: Friday, March 08, 2019 11:58 AM
To: Juett, Lynn; Garufi, Katherine; Anderson, RobinM; Mehta, Sandeep; Pessetto, Jared; Fisher, Susan;

R7-Confline-913-551-7530-P10XXXX/Phone/R7-RO

Cc: Newton, Heather; Sands, Charles

Subject: Vogel Paint (Iowa) Request for Change in Remedy and Site Deletion

When: Wednesday, March 13, 2019 8:00 AM-9:00 AM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).

Where: R7-RO2.A-C09-8/R7-RO

Conf Line 913-551-7530

Conf Code 100200

Robyn and Kate - R7 would like to pick your brains about a site in Iowa, Vogel Paint. It is a little different in that it is a state lead site (EPA has a MOU with IDNR giving them the lead). There was a ROD in 1989, an ESD in 2000 and another ESD in 2000.

Vogel (and the state is in agreement with them) wants to stop their GW P&T and do In-Situ Bioremediation and liquid barrier walls along site boundary as a pilot study in the hope that they can achieve MCLs at the site boundary (which is defined in the latest ESD as the point of compliance). Vogel Paint is seeking site deletion.

I will be attaching some background documents for this call by Monday. Below is a proposed agenda.

1. Purpose of the call – Lynn (5 mins)
2. Quick Site Background, including ROD and two ESDs – Sandeep (10 mins)
3. Questions/Discussion - All (45 mins):
 - a. Can/Should the GW Bioremediation be performed as a pilot
 - b. If the In-Situ Bioremediation results in achieving MCLS at POC (site boundary) – and the PRP goes on to demonstrate the plume is stable on-site – is there a need for an ESD or ROD Amendment (State and Vogel say no to either, but could be convinced to do an ESD we believe)
 - c. If the GW Bioremediation results in achieving MCLS at POC, is the site eligible for deletion?